1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

27

28

## **RECITALS**

WHEREAS, on May 11, 2004, this Court entered an order granting summary judgment in favor of Plaintiffs ("Summary Judgment Order");

WHEREAS, the Summary Judgment Order permanently enjoined Defendants from enforcing Section 900 of the California Department of Food and Agriculture Pooling Plan for Market Milk on interstate raw milk sales ("Injunction");

WHEREAS, on June 2, 2004, Defendants filed their Notice of Appeal of the Summary Judgment Order;

WHEREAS, on June 16, 2004, Plaintiffs filed their Notice of Cross-Appeal of the Summary Judgment Order;

WHEREAS, on September 3, 2004, this Court denied Defendants' request to stay the Injunction pending the resolution of Defendants' appeal;

WHEREAS, on December 9, 2004, this Court granted Plaintiffs' motion for attorneys' fees and costs and ordered Defendants to pay \$2,517,696.49 in attorneys' fees and \$14,405.30 in costs ("Fee Award");

WHEREAS, pursuant to a Stipulation and Order entered by this Court on August 19, 2005, Plaintiffs are permitted to file their first supplemental request for attorneys' fees on or before October 14, 2005;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs have demanded from Defendants reimbursement for all monies that Plaintiffs allege were unlawfully taken and withheld as a result of the now-enjoined 1997 amendments to the Pooling Plan;

WHEREAS, Defendants deny that they have violated Plaintiffs' Constitutional rights as held by this Court in the Summary Judgment Order;

WHEREAS, after negotiation with and through the Mediator for United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the parties have voluntarily decided to settle all their existing claims, appeals, and other disputes by entering into a final settlement agreement ("Settlement Agreement") that would dispose of this action in the District Court as well as in the Court of Appeals;

## Case 2:97-cv-01185-GEB-JFM Document 362 Filed 09/30/05 Page 3 of 4

1	WHEREAS, the terms of the Settlement Agreement provide that the settlement is
2	contingent upon the parties obtaining a modification to the Injunction that would limit the
3	Injunction's application to milk produced outside of California ("Proposed Modified Injunction")
4	because, inter alia, Defendants have expressed concern that the Injunction is broader than needed
5	to remedy the unconstitutional discrimination found in the Summary Judgment Order and may
6	interfere with Defendants' otherwise lawful regulations now or hereafter promulgated;
7	WHEREAS, Plaintiffs are satisfied that the Proposed Modified Injunction is nonetheless
8	broad enough to protect the interests of out-of-state dairy farmers as articulated in this lawsuit;
9	WHEREAS, this Stipulation is expressly conditioned upon and dependent upon the Court
10	signing and entering this Stipulation as an Order; and
11	THEREFORE:
12	STIPULATION
13	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties, through their
14	counsel of record, that the Injunction shall be modified to limit the Injunction's application to milk
15	produced outside of California.
16	
17	IT IS SO STIPULATED.
18	
19	Dated: September 28, 2005
20	Bill Lockyer Attorney General of the State of California
21	
22	By:/s/ Linda Berg LINDA BERG
Deputy Attorney Attorneys for De California Secretary of Food	Deputy Attorney General
	California Secretary of Food & Agriculture, <i>et al.</i> Dated: September 28, 2005
25	Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
26	
27	By:/s/ Charles M. English, Jr.
28	Charles M. English, Jr.

## Case 2:97-cv-01185-GEB-JFM Document 362 Filed 09/30/05 Page 4 of 4

1	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
2	Hillside Dairy, Inc., et al. (No. 97-1179)
3	Dated: September 28, 2005
4	Law Offices of John H. Vetne
5	
6	By: /s/ John H. Vetne JOHN H. VETNE
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Ponderosa Dairy, <i>et al.</i> (No. 97-1185)
8	
9	I, John Foust, hereby attest that I have obtained the authorization of the attorney signatories
10	to this document to electronically sign on their behalf.
11	Dated: September 28, 2005
12	Thelen Reid & Priest LLP
13	
14	By: /s/ John Foust JOHN FOUST
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Hillside Dairy, Inc., <i>et al.</i> (No. 97-1179)
16	
17	<u>ORDER</u>
18	Defendants are hereby permanently enjoined from enforcing Section 900 of the California
19	Department of Food and Agriculture Pooling Plan for Market Milk on raw milk produced outside
20	of California.
21	The permanent injunction previously issued by this Court pursuant to an Order entered on
22	May 11, 2004 [Order, May 11, 2004, CIV-S-97-1179, Docket Entry No. 336, at p. 9:1-2], is
23	hereby revoked, revised, and replaced with the paragraph immediately preceding this one.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
DATED: September 29, 2005 /s/ Garland	DATED: September 29, 2005 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.
26	GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.
27	United States District Judge
28	